arXiv:1703.06287v1 [math.SG] 18 Mar 2017

DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS AND CONTRAVARIANT DERIVATIVES IN POISSON GEOMETRY

Yuji HIROTA

Abstract

We inquire into the relation between the curl operators, the Poisson coboundary operators and contravariant derivatives on Poisson manifolds to study the theory of differential operators in Poisson geometry. Given an oriented Poisson manifold, we describe locally those two differential operators in terms of Poisson connection whose torsion is vanishing. Moreover, we introduce the notion of the modular operator for an oriented Poisson manifold. For a symplectic manifold, we describe explicitly the modular operator in terms of the curvature 2-section of Poisson connection, analogously to the Weitzenböck formula in Riemannian geometry.

Mathematics Subject Classification(2010): 53C05, 53D05, 53D17, 70G45
Keywords: contravariant calculus, Poisson manifolds, symplectic manifolds, the modular vector fields.

1 Introduction

As is well-known in Riemannian geometry [1, 9], the exterior derivative d of the de Rham complex for an oriented Riemannian manifold is written locally as

$$d = \sum_i \xi_i \wedge \nabla_{X_i} \tag{1.1}$$

by means of the Levi-Civita connection ∇, a local orthonormal frame field $\{X_i\}$ and its dual coframe field $\{\xi_i\}$. One can define another differential operator denoted by δ as $\delta := (-1)^\bullet * ^{-1} \circ d \circ *$ by using the Hodge star operator $*$. Similarly, the operator δ is also expressed locally by means of ∇ and $\{X_i\}$ as follows:

$$\delta = -\sum_i i(X_i)\nabla_{X_i}. \tag{1.2}$$

Composing from d and δ, one can obtain a second order differential operator by $\Delta := d\circ\delta + \delta\circ d$ which is called the Laplace operator. Δ can be described locally in terms of the curvature 2-tensor $\mathcal{R}$ of ∇, which is known as the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula:

$$\Delta = -\sum_i (\nabla_{X_i}\nabla_{X_i} - \nabla_{\nabla_{X_i}X_i}) - \sum_{i,j} \xi^i \wedge i(X_j)\, \mathcal{R}(X_i, X_j). \tag{1.3}$$

In these circumstances, differential operators associated with smooth manifolds might be closely related to connections.

Let us observe what happens when we apply a similar consideration mentioned above to Poisson manifolds. Given a Poisson manifold (P, Π), one can define a differential operator δ_Π of degree -1 which will be discussed in Section 2. The complex

$$\cdots \overset{\delta_\Pi}{\to} \Omega^{\bullet+1}(P) \overset{\delta_\Pi}{\to} \Omega^\bullet(P) \overset{\delta_\Pi}{\to} \Omega^{\bullet-1}(P) \overset{\delta_\Pi}{\to} \cdots$$

is called the canonical complex (see [3]). It might be interesting to consider a map on $\Omega^\bullet(P)$ as $\delta_\Pi \circ d + d \circ \delta_\Pi$ in analogy with the Laplace operator in Riemannian geometry. However, the map turns out

to be identically zero from easy calculation. Thus we reconsider it by replacing $\Omega^\bullet(P)$ by the space of multi-vector fields $\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P)$. Namely, we suggest a new operator which is the analogue with the Laplace operator by two differential complex

$$\cdots \overset{\partial_\Pi}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet-1}(P) \overset{\partial_\Pi}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P) \overset{\partial_\Pi}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(P) \overset{\partial_\Pi}{\to} \cdots$$

and

$$\cdots \overset{\mathfrak{C}_\mu}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet+1}(P) \overset{\mathfrak{C}_\mu}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P) \overset{\mathfrak{C}_\mu}{\to} \mathfrak{X}^{\bullet-1}(P) \overset{\mathfrak{C}_\mu}{\to} \cdots,$$

and attempt to describe it in terms of connections for Poisson manifolds and those curvatures, analogously with the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula. In the paper, mainly focusing on the case where a Poisson bivector field is nondegenerate, we describe those differential operators ∂_Π and $\mathfrak{C}_\mu$ locally as (1.1) and (1.2) by means of a contravariant derivative D satisfying some conditions. Besides, we introduce a new operator called the modular operator and, in Theorem 5.14, describe it on a symplectic manifold by means of 2-tensor induced from D similar to (1.3).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is about some operations frequently utilized in Poisson geometry. The above differential operators ∂_Π and δ_Π and the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket are discussed in the section. In Section 3, we introduce a new operator, called the modular operator, which depend on the choice of the volume form basing on the curl operators for oriented Poisson manifolds and exhibit its examples. In addition, it turns out that the modular operator is given by Lie derivative by the modular vector field. Section 4 is about the theory of contravariant derivatives for Poisson manifolds. In the section, we show that the Poisson coboundary operator ∂_Π for Poisson manifold is locally represented in terms of the contravariant derivative. Our main result is exhibited in Section 5. We explain in detail the star operator on a symplectic manifold which is studied in [3] and show some propositions needed for the proof of the main theorem. On the basis of those propositions and results in previous sections, we describe locally the curl operator with respect to the Liouville volume form μ for a symplectic manifold in terms of Poisson connection. Consequently, we obtain an explicit formula of the modular operator with respect to μ, which is analogous to the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula (Theorem 5.14). Lastly, we specify the modular operator with respect to a volume form μ multiplied by a non-vanishing function in terms of Poisson connection (Theorem 5.15).

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\Gamma^\infty(E)$ the space of smooth sections of a smooth vector bundle E over a smooth manifold M. Especially, we use the notation $\mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ and $\Omega^k(M)$ for $\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^k TM)$ and $\Gamma^\infty(\wedge^k T^*M)$, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

We begin with the paper by recalling some fundamental items in Poisson geometry. Let (P, Π) be a Poisson manifold. The Poisson bivector field Π gives rise to a homomorphism

$$\sharp : \Omega^1(P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^1(P) \tag{2.1}$$

by $\beta(\sharp\alpha) = \langle \beta \wedge \alpha, \Pi \rangle$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P)$. So, the Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ of smooth functions f, g is given by

$$\{f, g\} := \langle df \wedge dg, \Pi \rangle = (df)(\sharp dg).$$

A vector field $\sharp dg$ is called the Hamiltonian vector field of g, which is denoted by H_g. By taking exterior powers of the homomorphism, one can extend it to a $C^\infty(P)$-homomorphism

$$\sharp_k : \Omega^k(P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^k(P), \qquad \sharp_k(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k) := \sharp\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sharp\alpha_k. \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, the bivector field Π naturally induces a skew-symmetric bilinear map

$$\Pi_k : \Omega^k(P) \times \Omega^k(P) \longrightarrow C^\infty(P) \tag{2.3}$$

by

$$\Pi_k(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k, \beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k) := \det\left(\langle \alpha_i \wedge \beta_j, \Pi \rangle\right)$$

Those maps (2.2) and (2.3) are connected each other by the formula

$$\Pi_k(\alpha, \beta) = \langle \alpha, \sharp_k \beta \rangle, \qquad (\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(P)).$$

When M is a symplectic manifold with a symplectic form ω, the homomorphism (2.1) is an isomorphism due to the nondegeneracy of ω, whose inverse map is a natural map induced from the symplectic form

$$\flat : \mathfrak{X}^1(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(M), \qquad \flat(X) := i(X)\omega.$$

Here we denote by $i(X)\omega$ the interior product of ω by $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$. Similarly to the above, one can extend the map $\flat$ to a $C^\infty(M)$-isomorphism

$$\flat_k : \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^k(M), \qquad \flat_k(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k) := \flat(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \flat(X_k)$$

and find that it is the inverse map of $\sharp_k$. The form ω induces a natural skew-symmetric bilinear forms ω_k and ω_k^{-1} on $\wedge^k TM$ and $\wedge^k T^*M$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_k : \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \times \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(P), \qquad \omega_k(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_k) := \det\left(\omega(X_i, Y_j)\right), \\
&\omega_k^{-1} : \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow C^\infty(P), \qquad \omega_k^{-1}(\alpha, \beta) := \omega_k(\sharp_k(\alpha), \sharp_k(\beta)).
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily check that $\flat_k$ and ω_k are connected by

$$\omega_k(X, Y) = \langle \flat_k(X), Y \rangle, \qquad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^k(M)).$$

Therefore, one immediately finds that $\omega_k^{-1} = \Pi_k$ and $\Pi = \sharp_2(\omega)$.

For any multi-vector field on a given smooth manifold M, we can define a their product which is called the Schouten bracket [8]. If $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M)$, $B \in \mathfrak{X}^b(M)$, their Schouten bracket $[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}}(F_1, \cdots, F_{a+b-1}) \\
&\quad := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{b,a-1}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) A\left(B(F_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(b)}), F_{\sigma(b+1)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(a+b-1)}\right) \\
&\qquad - (-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{a,b-1}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) B\left(A(F_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(a)}), F_{\sigma(a+1)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(a+b-1)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

for any $F_1, \cdots, F_{a+b-1} \in C^\infty(M)$. Here, the symbol $\mathfrak{S}_{p,q}$ denotes the set of (p, q)-shuffles. A (p, q)-shuffle σ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \cdots, p+q\}$ which satisfies $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p)$ and $\sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q)$. One can easily check that if Π is a Poisson bivector, the Hamiltonian vector field H_f for a smooth function f is represented as $H_f = -[f, \Pi]_{\mathrm{S}}$.

The Schouten bracket defines a graded Lie algebra structure on the spaces of smooth vector fields on M whose degrees are shifted by -1. That is, it satisfies the following properties: for any homogeneous element $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M), B \in \mathfrak{X}^b(M)$ and $C \in \mathfrak{X}^c(M)$,

(S1) $[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}} = -(-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)}[B, A]_{\mathrm{S}}$.

(S2) $(-1)^{(a-1)(c-1)}[A, [B, C]_{\mathrm{S}}]_{\mathrm{S}} + (-1)^{(b-1)(a-1)}[B, [C, A]_{\mathrm{S}}]_{\mathrm{S}} + (-1)^{(c-1)(b-1)}[C, [A, B]_{\mathrm{S}}]_{\mathrm{S}} = 0$.

Moreover, the Schouten bracket is shown to be compatible with the wedge product:

(S3) $[A, B \wedge C]_{\mathrm{S}} = B \wedge [A, C]_{\mathrm{S}} + (-1)^{(a-1)c}[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}} \wedge C$.

(S4) $[A \wedge B, C]_{\mathrm{S}} = [A, C]_{\mathrm{S}} \wedge B + (-1)^{a(c-1)}A \wedge [B, C]_{\mathrm{S}}$.

The Schouten bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_S$ is also characterized by

$$i\,([A,\, B]_{\mathrm{S}}) = i(A)di(B) - (-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)}i(B)di(A) - (-1)^{(a-1)b}i(A \wedge B)d - (-1)^{a(b-1)}di(A \wedge B) \qquad (2.4)$$

in terms of the interior product by a multi-vector field. Here, the interior product of $\eta \in \Omega^p(M)$ by $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M)$ with $p \geq a$, denoted by $i\,(A)\eta$, is defined to be a differential $(p-a)$-form by

$$\langle i\,(A)\eta,\, B\rangle := \langle \eta,\, A \wedge B\rangle$$

for any $(p-a)$-vector field B. Especially, when $a = 0$, $i(A)\eta$ is given by $A\eta$. If $p < a$, then we put $i\,(A)\eta = 0$.

Similarly, we can define the interior product of a multivector field by a differential form. For any $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^p(M)$ with $a \geq p$, the interior product of A by η is defined to be a $(a-p)$-vector field, denoted by $i\,(\eta)A$, such that

$$\langle \tau,\, i\,(\eta)A\rangle = \langle \tau \wedge \eta,\, A\rangle$$

for any $(a-p)$-form τ. When $a < p$, we put $i\,(\eta)A = 0$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let α be a differential 1-form on M. It holds that*

$$i(\alpha)(X \wedge A) = X \wedge (i(\alpha)A) + (-1)^a\alpha(X)A$$

for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(M)$ and $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M)$.

Proof. Let $\beta \in \Omega^a(M)$. From the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \beta,\, i(\alpha)(X \wedge A)\rangle &= \langle \beta \wedge \alpha,\, X \wedge A\rangle = \langle i(X)(\beta \wedge \alpha),\, A\rangle \\ &= \langle i(X)\beta \wedge \alpha,\, A\rangle + (-1)^a\langle \beta \wedge i(X)\alpha,\, A\rangle \\ &= \langle i(X)\beta \wedge \alpha,\, A\rangle + (-1)^a\alpha(X)\langle \beta,\, A\rangle \\ &= \langle \beta,\, X \wedge i(\alpha)A\rangle + (-1)^a\alpha(X)\langle \beta,\, A\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Since β is any a-form, this complete the proof. □

Given a Poisson manifold (P, Π), one can define homomorphisms ∂^k_Π from $\mathfrak{X}^k(P)$ to $\mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(P)$, called the Poisson coboundary operators, as

$$\partial^k_\Pi : \mathfrak{X}^k(P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{k+1}(P), \qquad \partial^k_\Pi(A) := -[A,\, \Pi]_{\mathrm{S}}$$

for each nonnegative integer $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. It is well-known that those operators $\partial^\bullet_\Pi$ make $(\mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P), \partial^\bullet)$ into a chain complex called the Lichnerowicz complex (see [5]). The cohomology of the Lichnerowicz complex is called Poisson cohomology of P. The operators ∂^k_Π and $\sharp_k$ are connected by the following lemma [5, 8]:

Lemma 2.2 *For any $\eta \in \Omega^k(P)$, it holds that*

$$\sharp_{k+1}(d\eta) = -[\sharp_k(\eta),\, \Pi]_S = \partial^k_\Pi(\sharp_k(\eta)).$$

It is known that given a Poisson manifold (P, Π), there is another differential complex associated to the Poisson bivector Π. For each $k = 1, 2, \cdots$, one can define the operator $\delta^k_\Pi : \Omega^k(P) \to \Omega^{k-1}(P)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta^k_\Pi(\varphi_0\, d\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_k) \\
&\quad := \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i+1} \{\varphi_0,\, \varphi_i\}\, d\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_k \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \varphi_0 d\{\varphi_i,\, \varphi_j\} \wedge d\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{d\varphi_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_k,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_k \in C^\infty(P)$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ stands for a Poisson bracket with respect to Π. The operator $\delta^\bullet_\Pi$ was introduced by J.-L. Brylinski in [3] and satisfy $\delta^{k-1}_\Pi \circ \delta^k_\Pi = 0$. The cohomology of the differential complex $(\Omega^\bullet(P),\, \delta^\bullet_\Pi)$ is called the canonical homology or Poisson homology of P.

3 The Modular Operators

Let M be an oriented m-dimensional smooth manifold and μ a volume form on M. For a nonnegative integer $a\,(0 \le k \le m)$, one can define a $C^\infty(M)$-linear map by

$$\mu^\flat : \mathfrak{X}^a(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{m-a}(M), \qquad \mu^\flat(A) := i\,(A)\mu.$$

$\mu^\flat$ is an isomorphism whose inverse map, denoted by $\mu^\sharp$, is given by $\langle \beta,\, \mu^\sharp(\alpha)\rangle := \langle \beta \wedge \alpha,\, \hat{\mu}\rangle$, where $\hat{\mu}$ stands for the dual vector field of μ. Remark that $\langle \mu,\, \hat{\mu}\rangle = 1$. Consequently, one gets $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu$ from $\mathfrak{X}^a(M)$ to $\mathfrak{X}^{a-1}(M)$ by

$$\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu : \mathfrak{X}^a(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{a-1}(M), \qquad \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu(A) := (\mu^\sharp \circ d \circ \mu^\flat)(A).$$

The operator $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu$ is called the a-th curl operator with respect to μ on M (see [5] and [8]). From $d \circ d = 0$ it follows that $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu \circ \mathfrak{C}^{a+1}_\mu = 0$. We often use the notation $\mathfrak{C}_\mu$ for $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu$.

Example 3.1 *A simple example of the curl operator is the case where M is an oriented Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{R}^m$ together with a metric g. Take the volume form $\mu = \sqrt{\det g}\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m$ and we have, for any vector field $X = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mu^\flat \circ \mathfrak{C}_\mu)(X) = (d \circ \mu^\flat)(X) &= d \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i\, i\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\Big)(\sqrt{\det g}\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Big\{\xi_i \frac{\partial \sqrt{\det g}}{\partial x_i} + \sqrt{\det g}\, \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial x_i}\Big\}\, dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the curl operator of X with regard to the volume form μ is given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \Big\{\xi_i \frac{\partial \sqrt{\det g}}{\partial x_i} + \sqrt{\det g}\, \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial x_i}\Big\}.$$

In particular, taking the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathfrak{C}_\mu(X)$ is entirely the divergence of a vector field:

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu(X) = \operatorname{div} X = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial x_i}.$$

Example 3.2 *We consider a symplectic manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a symplectic form $\omega = \phi(x, y)\, dx \wedge dy$, where $\phi(x, y) \neq 0$ on whole $\mathbb{R}^2$. Remark that ω is a volume form on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $X = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathfrak{X}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, $\mathfrak{C}_\mu(X)$ with respect to ω is given by*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\omega(X) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \phi\left\{f\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\frac{1}{\phi}\Big) + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big(\frac{1}{\phi}\Big)\right\} = \operatorname{div} X + \frac{1}{\phi}\, X\phi.$$

Example 3.3 *Let (P, Π) be an oriented m-dimensional Poisson manifold with a volume form μ. A vector field $\Xi_\mu \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ satisfying*

$$\mathcal{L}_\Pi \mu = i(\Xi_\mu)\mu,$$

is called the modular vector field with respect to the volume form μ. Here, $\mathcal{L}_\Pi$ denotes the generalized Lie derivative by Π which is defined as $\mathcal{L}_\Pi = i(\Pi) \circ d - d \circ i(\Pi)$ (see [8]). From the definition of the modular vector field,

$$(\mu^\flat \circ \mathfrak{C}_\mu)(\Pi) = d(i(\Pi)\mu) = -\mathcal{L}_\Pi \mu = -\mu^\flat(\Xi_\mu).$$

Hence we have

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu(\Pi) = -\Xi_\mu. \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}^1_\mu \circ \mathfrak{C}^2_\mu = 0$, one finds that the curl operator of the modular vector field is zero (see Proposition 4.17 in [8]).

Example 3.4 *Let $\Pi = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + h\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ be a Poisson bivector on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Namely, Π is a smooth bivector field on $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that*

$$f\Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big) + g\Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Big) + h\Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Taking the standard volume form $\mu = dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi) = \Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial z}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \tag{3.3}$$

From the formula (2.4),

$$\begin{aligned} i([A, B]_{\mathrm{S}})\mu &= i(A)di(B)\mu - (-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)} i(B)di(A)\mu + (-1)^{a(b-1)} di(A \wedge B)\mu \\ &= i(\mathfrak{C}_\mu(B) \wedge A)\mu - (-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)} i(\mathfrak{C}_\mu(A) \wedge B)\mu + (-1)^{a(b-1)} i(\mathfrak{C}_\mu(A \wedge B))\mu \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(M)$, $B \in \mathfrak{X}^b(M)$. Therefore, we have

$$(-1)^{(a-1)(b-1)}[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}} = (-1)^b \mathfrak{C}_\mu(A \wedge B) - \mathfrak{C}_\mu(A) \wedge B - (-1)^b A \wedge \mathfrak{C}_\mu(B). \tag{3.4}$$

We remark that the sign convention of the above formula (3.4) is different from the one which Koszul displayed in [7]. By using (3.4) and $\mathfrak{C}_\mu \circ \mathfrak{C}_\mu = 0$, we obtain the following useful proposition, immediately.

Proposition 3.1 *Let μ be a volume form on M. For any a-vector field A and any b-vector field B,*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu[A, B]_{\mathrm{S}} = [\mathfrak{C}_\mu(A), B]_{\mathrm{S}} + (-1)^{a-1}[A, \mathfrak{C}_\mu(B)]_{\mathrm{S}}.$$

Let (P, Π) be an oriented Poisson manifold of dimension n with a volume form μ on P. For a nonnegative integer a $(0 \le a \le n)$, we define a map Λ^a_μ from $\mathfrak{X}^a(P)$ to $\mathfrak{X}^a(P)$ by composing the differential operator ∂^a_Π and the curl operator $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu$ with respect to μ as follows:

$$\Lambda^a_\mu : \mathfrak{X}^a(P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^a(P), \qquad \Lambda^a_\mu A := (\mathfrak{C}^{a+1}_\mu \circ \partial^a_\Pi - \partial^{a-1}_\Pi \circ \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu) A.$$

Especially, $\Lambda^0_\mu f = \mathfrak{C}^1_\mu(H_f)$ for $f \in C^\infty(P)$. For the sake of simplicity, we often omit a superscript a and write Λ_μ for Λ^a_μ. The operator Λ^a_μ is a kind of measures the commutativity of $\mathfrak{C}^{a+1}_\mu \circ \partial^a_\Pi$ and $\partial^{a-1}_\Pi \circ \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu$.

Example 3.5 *A 2-dimensional real space $\mathbb{R}^2$ equipped with a bivector $\Pi = \phi(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ ($\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$) is an oriented Poisson manifold with the standard volume form $\mu = dx\wedge dy$. The Hamiltonian vector field H_F for $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is expressed as*

$$H_F = \phi(x,y)\Big(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Big).$$

Then, by (3.1) we have

$$\Lambda^0_\mu F = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = -\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi)F = \Xi_\mu F.$$

and compute $\Lambda^1_\mu X$ of a vector field $X = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^1_\mu X &= \Big(\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} - f\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y\partial x} - g\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Big(-\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} + f\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} + g\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\\ &= -\Big(\Xi_\mu f + \mathcal{L}_X\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Big(-\Xi_\mu g + \mathcal{L}_X\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.\end{aligned}$$

Example 3.6 *Let us consider the Poisson manifold in Example 3.4. The curl operator of Π with respect to the standard volume form is given by (3.3). From $\partial_\Pi\Pi = 0$ and* (3.2)*, $\Lambda^2_\mu\Pi = 0$. That is, $A = \Pi$ is a solution of $\Lambda^2_\mu A = 0$. By the way, the Hamiltonian vector field H_F of $F \in C^\infty(P)$ is explicitly expressed as*

$$H_F = \Big(f\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} - h\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Big(g\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} - f\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \Big(h\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - g\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Accordingly,

$$\Lambda^0_\mu F = \Big(\frac{\partial h}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \Big(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial z}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \Big(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

By (3.1) and (3.3), we find that $\Lambda^0_\mu F = \Xi_\mu F$.

In Example 3.5 and 3.6, the operator Λ^0_μ for a smooth function is described in terms of the modular vector field. Those results generally hold for any oriented Poisson manifold:

Proposition 3.2 *Let (P, Π) be an oriented Poisson manifold with a volume form μ and Ξ_μ the modular vector field with respect to μ. For any $F \in C^\infty(P)$, it holds that*

$$\Lambda^0_\mu F = \Xi_\mu F.$$

Proof. Put $A = F \in C^\infty(P)$, $B = \Pi \in \mathfrak{X}^2(P)$ in (2.4) and we have

$$i([F,\Pi]_S)\mu = Fdi(\Pi)\mu - d(i(F\Pi)\mu).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}H_F = (\mu^\sharp\circ\mu^\flat)(H_F) &= -\mu^\sharp(i([F,\Pi]_S)\mu)\\ &= \mu^\sharp d\mu^\flat(F\Pi) - F\mu^\sharp d\mu^\flat(\Pi)\\ &= \mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(F\Pi) - F\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{C}^1_\mu\circ\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu = 0$,

$$\Lambda^0_\mu F = \mathfrak{C}^1_\mu(H_F) = -\mathfrak{C}^1_\mu(F\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi)) = -\mu^\sharp(df\wedge i(\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi))\mu).$$

Computing the interior product by $\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi)\in\mathfrak{X}(P)$ of $df\wedge\mu = 0$, we find

$$df\wedge i(\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu(\Pi))\mu = ((\mathfrak{C}^2_\mu\Pi)F)\mu.$$

From this and (3.1), it follows that

$$\Lambda_\mu^0 F = -\mathfrak{C}_\mu^2(\Pi)F\langle\mu, \hat{\mu}\rangle = \Xi_\mu F,$$

which complete the proof. □

Proposition 3.2 gives us a geometric interpretation of Λ_μ^0: $\Lambda_\mu^0 F$ describe the rate of change of the function along the flow of the modular vector field. Moreover, applying Proposition 3.1 to the case where B is a Poisson bivector Π and using (3.1), we find that

$$\Lambda_\mu^a A = \mathfrak{C}_\mu^{a+1}(\partial_\Pi^a A) - \partial_\Pi^{a-1}(\mathfrak{C}_\mu^a A) = (-1)^a[\Xi_\mu, A]_{\mathrm{S}}. \tag{3.5}$$

That is the reason why we call the operator Λ_μ^a the a-th modular operator with respect to μ. We often omit the superscript a and use the notation Λ_μ for Λ_μ^a.

4 Poisson Connections

Let (P, Π) be an n-dimensional Poisson manifold. As is mentioned in Section 2, the Poisson bivector Π induces a homomorphism $\sharp : \Omega^1(P) \to \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$. By a contravariant derivative on (P, Π) we mean an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map

$$D : \Omega^1(P) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}^1(P, T^*P) := \Gamma^\infty(TP \otimes T^*P)$$

which satisfies the following: for any $f \in C^\infty(P)$ and $\alpha, \eta \in \Omega^1(P)$

(1) $\langle f\eta, D\alpha\rangle = f\langle\eta, D\alpha\rangle \in \Omega^1(P)$;

(2) $\langle\eta, D(f\alpha)\rangle = f\langle\eta, D\alpha\rangle + ((\sharp\eta)f)\alpha$.

Here, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ stands for the evaluation given by $\langle\eta, X\otimes\alpha\rangle := \eta(X)\alpha$ for any $\eta \in \Omega^1(P), X\otimes\alpha \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P, T^*P)$. We also often write $D_\eta\alpha$ for $\langle\eta, D\alpha\rangle$ in what follows. Similarly to the case of a usual connection theory, D is a local operator. Let $\{\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^n\}$ be a local coframe field on a local chart U in P. If a 1-form α is written on U in the form $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i\theta^i$ ($\alpha_i \in C^\infty(U)$), then $D\alpha$ is represented as

$$D\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n \Big\{-\sharp(d\alpha_j) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\Theta_{ij}\Big\} \otimes \theta^j,$$

where Θ_{ij} are local smooth vector fields by $D\theta^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \Theta_{ij} \otimes \theta^j$. A contravariant derivative D can be extended to the map from $\mathfrak{X}^a(P)$ to $\mathfrak{X}^1(P, \wedge^a TP) = \Gamma^\infty(TP \otimes \wedge^a TP)$ by

$$\langle\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_a, D_\eta A\rangle = (\sharp\eta)(\langle\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_a, A\rangle) - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_\eta\alpha_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_a, A\rangle \tag{4.1}$$

for any 1-form $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_a$ on P. We use the same notation D for the extended contravariant derivative. One can verify that D satisfies

$$D_\eta(A \wedge B) = (D_\eta A) \wedge B + A \wedge (D_\eta B) \tag{4.2}$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(P)$, $B \in \mathfrak{X}^b(P)$ and $\beta \in \Omega^1(P)$. Moreover, by using (4.1), we can get easily the following:

Proposition 4.1 *Let α, β be any differential 1-form on P. It holds that*

$$D_\eta \circ i(\alpha) = i(\alpha)D_\eta + i(D_\eta\alpha).$$

For the Poisson bivector field Π, $D\Pi$ is given by

$$\langle \beta \wedge \gamma,\, D_\alpha \Pi \rangle = (\sharp\alpha)(\langle \beta \wedge \gamma, \Pi \rangle) - \langle D_\alpha \beta \wedge \gamma,\, \Pi \rangle - \langle \beta \wedge D_\alpha \gamma,\, \Pi \rangle, \tag{4.3}$$

where α, β and γ is any 1-form on P. The operator D is called a Poisson connection if $D\Pi = 0$. In other words, a Poisson connection is the operator D which satisfies

$$(\sharp\alpha)(\langle \beta \wedge \gamma,\, \Pi \rangle) = \langle D_\alpha \beta \wedge \gamma,\, \Pi \rangle + \langle \beta \wedge D_\alpha \gamma,\, \Pi \rangle. \tag{4.4}$$

It is known that every Poisson manifolds has a Poisson connection (see [6]).

As is well-known, one can define a Lie bracket denoted by $[\cdot, \cdot]_\Pi$ on T^*P as

$$[\alpha, \beta]_\Pi := \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\alpha}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\beta}\alpha + d\langle \alpha \wedge \beta,\, \Pi \rangle$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P)$. Here, $\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\alpha}\beta$ denotes a Lie derivative of β in the direction of $\sharp\alpha$. One can verify that $[df,\, dg]_\Pi = -d\{f,\, g\}$, and moreover the map $\sharp : \Omega^1(P) \to \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism: $\sharp[df,\, dg]_\Pi = [\sharp df,\, \sharp dg]$. By using this bracket, one can construct a 2-vector field R_D with values in $TP \otimes T^*P$ by putting

$$R_D(\alpha, \beta) := D_\alpha D_\beta - D_\beta D_\alpha - D_{[\alpha,\beta]_\Pi},$$

which is called the curvature 2-section of D. Additional to this, one can get a tensor field T_D, called a torsion of D, by

$$T_D(\alpha, \beta) := D_\alpha \beta - D_\beta \alpha - [\alpha, \beta]_\Pi.$$

The torsion T_D is said to be vanishing if $T_D(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P)$.

Suppose that (P, Π) has a Poisson connection D whose torsion is vanishing. By the definition of the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket and (4.3), for any k-vector field A on P and any function $F_1, \cdots, F_{k+1} \in C^\infty(P)$, $(\partial^k_\Pi A)(F_1, \cdots, F_{k+1})$ is calculated to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\partial^a_\Pi A)(F_1, \cdots, F_{a+1}) \\
&= -[A,\, \Pi]_{\mathrm{S}}(F_1, \cdots, F_{a+1}) \\
&= -\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2,a-1}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) A(\{F_{\sigma(1)}, F_{\sigma(2)}\}, F_{\sigma(3)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(a+1)}) + (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{a,1}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \{A(F_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, F_{\sigma(a)}), F_{\sigma(a+1)}\} \\
&= \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} A(\{F_i, F_j\}, F_1, \cdots, \widehat{F_i}, \cdots, \widehat{F_j}, \cdots, F_{a+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} (-1)^i \{A(F_1, \cdots, \widehat{F_i}, \cdots, F_{a+1}), F_i\} \\
&= \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} A(\{F_i, F_j\}, F_1, \cdots, \widehat{F_i}, \cdots, \widehat{F_j}, \cdots, F_{a+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} (-1)^i (D_{dF_i} A)(dF_1, \cdots, \widehat{dF_i}, \cdots, dF_{a+1}) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i \neq j}^{a+1} (-1)^i \langle dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{dF_i} dF_j \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{a+1},\, A \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i<j} (-1)^i \cdot (-1)^{j-2} \langle D_{dF_i} dF_j \wedge dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{a+1},\, A \rangle \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i>j} (-1)^i \cdot (-1)^{j-1} \langle D_{dF_i} dF_j \wedge dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{a+1},\, A \rangle \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} A(\{F_i, F_j\}, F_1, \cdots, \widehat{F_i}, \cdots, \widehat{F_j}, \cdots, F_{a+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{a+1} (-1)^i (D_{dF_i} A)(dF_1, \cdots, \widehat{dF_i}, \cdots, dF_{a+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \langle D_{dF_i} dF_j \wedge dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{a+1},\, A \rangle \\
&\qquad + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \langle -D_{dF_j} dF_i \wedge dF_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dF_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dF_{a+1},\, A \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}A(\{F_i,F_j\},F_1,\cdots,\widehat{F_i},\cdots,\widehat{F_j},\cdots,F_{a+1})+\sum_{i=1}^{a+1}(-1)^i(D_{dF_i}A)(dF_1,\cdots,\widehat{dF_i},\cdots,dF_{a+1})$$

$$=\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\langle(D_{dF_i}dF_j-D_{dF_j}dF_i+d\{F_i,F_j\})\wedge dF_1\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{dF_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge\widehat{dF_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge dF_{a+1},\,A\rangle$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{a+1}(-1)^i(D_{dF_i}A)(dF_1,\cdots,\widehat{dF_i},\cdots,dF_{a+1})$$

From the assumption, it follows that $T(dF_i,\,dF_j)=D_{dF_i}dF_j-D_{dF_j}dF_i+d\{F_i,F_j\}=0$ for each $i,j=1,\cdots,a+1$. Therefore, it holds that

$$(\partial^a_\Pi A)(F_1,\cdots,F_{a+1})=\sum_{i=1}^{a+1}(-1)^i(D_{dF_i}A)(dF_1,\cdots,\widehat{dF_i},\cdots,dF_{a+1}). \tag{4.5}$$

The following proposition is checked easily from (4.5).

Corollary 4.2 *$DA=0$ implies that $\partial_\Pi A=0$.*

Let $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$ be a local frame fields on some local chart U of (P,Π) and $\{\theta^1,\cdots,\theta^n\}$ be the dual coframe field of $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$. Namely,$\{e_1(x),\cdots,e_n(x)\}$ is a basis of T_xU at each point $x\in P$ and $\langle\theta^i(x),\,e_j(x)\rangle=\delta_{ij}$ $(i,j=1,\cdots,n)$.

Theorem 4.3 *On some local chart U of (P,Π), ∂^k_Π can be written in the form*

$$\partial^a_\Pi|_U A=-\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\wedge D_{\theta^i}A.$$

by using a Poisson connection D whose torsion is vanishing.

Proof. Let $A\in\mathfrak{X}^a(P)$ and $F_1,\cdots,F_{a+1}\in C^\infty(P)$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^n e_i\wedge D_{\theta^i}A(dF_1,\cdots,dF_{a+1})\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{a!}\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)(dF_{\sigma(1)})(e_i)(D_{\theta^i}A)(dF_{\sigma(2)},\cdots,dF_{\sigma(a+1)})\\&\qquad=\frac{1}{a!}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{a+1}}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)(D_{dF_{\sigma(1)}}A)(dF_{\sigma(2)},\cdots,dF_{\sigma(a+1)})\\&\qquad=\sum_{i=1}^a(-1)^{i+1}(D_{dF_i}A)(dF_1,\cdots,\widehat{dF_i},\cdots,dF_{a+1}).\end{aligned}$$

By the formula (4.5), we complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.3 does not depend on the choice of the local frame field and its local coframe field. Indeed, we let $\{f_1,\cdots,f_n\}$ be another local frame field with the dual coframe field $\{\xi^1,\cdots,\xi^n\}$. Then, it holds that

$$f_j=\sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij}e_i\qquad\text{and}\qquad\xi^j=\sum_{k=1}^n B_{jk}\theta^k\qquad(j=1,2,\cdots,n)$$

for some real coefficients $\{A_{ij}\}$ and $\{B_{jk}\}$. We remark that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik}B_{kj}=\delta_{ij}\qquad(1\le i,j\le n).$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_k \wedge D_{\xi^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ik} e_i \right) \wedge D_{\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{kj}\theta^j} = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{ik} B_{kj} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^j} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i}.$$

Hence, ∂_Π is written locally as Theorem 4.3 independently of the choice of the local frame field.

Example 4.1 *Let P be an oriented Poisson manifold of n-dimension and $A \in \mathfrak{X}^0(P) = C^\infty(P)$. Then we can check easily*

$$(\partial^0_\Pi A)(F) = H_A F = -(\sharp dF)A = -D_{dF}A$$

for any smooth function F. That is, the equation (4.5) surely holds. Moreover, We choose a local chart U with coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ and a local frame field $\{e_j\}$ by $e_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ on U. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i} A &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \wedge (\sharp dx_i)A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_i(H_A) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = H_A \\ &= \partial_\Pi A, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates Theorem 4.3.

5 The Modular Operators on Symplectic Manifolds

5.1 The star operators for even dimensional Poisson manifolds

We suppose that (P, Π) is an oriented Poisson manifold of dimension $2m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$). As a volume form of M, we take a $2m$-form μ such that $\Pi_{2m}(\mu, \mu) = 1$ and then, we set $\hat{\mu}_P = \sharp_{2m}(\mu)$. We consider a natural map

$$\star : \Omega^k(P) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2m-k}(P), \qquad \star\eta := i(\sharp_k \eta)\mu \qquad (0 \le k \le 2m)$$

which is composed of $\mu^\flat$ and $\sharp_k$. We can verify easily the following:

$$\star f = f\mu \quad (f \in C^\infty(P)), \qquad \star\mu = 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Lemma 5.1 *Let $0 \le k \le 2m$. If $\alpha \in \Omega^k(P)$, $\beta \in \Omega^{2m-k}(P)$, it hold that*

$$\Pi_{2m-k}(\star\alpha, \beta)\mu = \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha \in \Omega^k(P)$ and $\beta \in \Omega^{2m-k}(P)$ are written in the form respectively

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k, \qquad \beta = \beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{2m-k},$$

where $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \cdots, \beta_{2m-k}$ are differential 1-forms on P. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{2m-k}(\star\alpha, \beta) &= \langle \star\alpha, \sharp_{2m-k}(\beta) \rangle \\ &= \mu(\sharp_k(\alpha), \sharp_{2m-k}(\beta)) = \langle \mu, \sharp_k(\alpha) \wedge \sharp_{2m-k}(\beta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mu, \sharp_{2m}(\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k \wedge \beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{2m-k}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \sharp_{2m}(\mu) \rangle \in C^\infty(P). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

From $\Pi_{2m}(\mu, \mu) = 1$ it follows that $\Pi_{2m-k}(\star\alpha, \beta)\mu = \langle \alpha \wedge \beta, \sharp_{2m}(\mu) \rangle \mu = \alpha \wedge \beta$. □

The equation (5.2) leads us to the formula $\star(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \Pi_{2m-k}(\star\alpha, \beta)$. By using (5.1) and Lemma 5.1, we calculate

$$\star \star (\alpha \wedge \beta) = \star\Pi(\star\alpha, \beta) = \Pi(\star\alpha, \beta)\mu = \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

Since differential k-forms of this type generates $\Omega^k(P)$, we get the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2 *For any $\eta \in \Omega^k(P)$, it holds that $\star(\star\eta) = \eta$.*

Proposition 5.3 *For $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(P)$,*

$$\alpha \wedge \star\beta = \Pi_k(\alpha, \beta)\mu = (-1)^k \beta \wedge \star\alpha$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \wedge \star\beta &= (-1)^{k(2m-k)} \star \beta \wedge \alpha = (-1)^{k(2m-k)}\Pi_k(\star(\star\beta), \alpha)\mu \\ &= (-1)^{k(2m-k)}\Pi_k(\beta, \alpha)\mu = \Pi_k(\alpha, \beta)\mu,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the former equality. The latter follows immediately from $\Pi_k(\alpha, \beta) = (-1)^k\Pi_k(\beta, \alpha)$. □

Proposition 5.4 *For any $\alpha \in \Omega^k(P)$, it holds that $\mu^\sharp(\alpha) = (\sharp_{2m-k} \circ \star)(\alpha)$. Especially, if P is a symplectic manifold, it holds that $\mu^\flat(K) = (\star \circ \flat_k)(K)$ for any $K \in \mathfrak{X}^k(P)$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^k(P)$. Then, by Lemma 5.1 we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\beta, \mu^\sharp(\alpha)\rangle &= \langle\beta \wedge \alpha, \hat{\mu}\rangle = (-1)^k\langle\alpha \wedge \beta, \hat{\mu}\rangle = (-1)^{2m} \cdot (-1)^{-k}\Pi_{2m-k}(\star\alpha, \beta) \\ &= \langle\beta, \sharp_{2m-k}(\star\alpha)\rangle\end{aligned}$$

for any $\beta \in \Omega^{2m-k}(P)$. Therefore, $\mu^\sharp = \sharp_\bullet \circ \star$ holds. If P is a symplectic manifold, then the map $\sharp_\bullet : \mathfrak{X}^\bullet(P) \to \Omega^\bullet(P)$ is invertible. By Lemma 5.2, the star operator $\star$ is also invertible with $\star^{-1} = \star$. Therefore,

$$\mu^\flat(K) = (\mu^\sharp)^{-1}(K) = (\sharp_k \circ \star)^{-1}(K) = (\star \circ \flat_k)(K),$$

which proves the proposition. □

The following result can be proved immediately by a direct computation:

Proposition 5.5 *For any $\alpha \in \Omega^1(P)$ and $\eta \in \Omega^k(P)$, it holds that*

$$\star(\alpha \wedge \eta) = (-1)^k i\,(\sharp\alpha) \star \eta.$$

In the case where P is a symplectic manifold, the operator $\star : \Omega^\bullet(M) \to \Omega^{2m-\bullet}(P)$ coincides with the one introduced by J. -L. Brylinski which is defined as (1) in Proposition 5.3. The following proposition is due to J. -L. Brylinski [3]:

Proposition 5.6 *For $0 \leq k \leq 2m$, the operator $\delta^k_\Pi : \Omega^k(P) \to \Omega^{k-1}(P)$ is represented as*

$$\delta^k_\Pi = (-1)^{k+1} \star \circ d \circ \star.$$

5.2 The curl operators on symplectic manifolds

We consider a symplectic manifold (P, ω) of dimension $2m$ with the volume form $\mu = \frac{1}{m!} \wedge^m \omega$ and assume that it has a Poisson connection D whose torsion is vanishing. We write Π_ω for a Poisson bivector by the symplectic form ω and denote by $\sharp_\omega$ a map from $\Omega^1(P)$ to $\mathfrak{X}^1(P)$ induced from Π_ω (see Section 2). Using D, we define a covariant derivative $\nabla_X Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$ as

$$\nabla_X Y := (\sharp_\omega \circ D_{\flat(X)} \circ \flat)(Y) \qquad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)) \tag{5.3}$$

and extend it to the map from $\Omega^k(P)$ to $\Omega^1(P, \wedge^k T^*P)$ as

$$\nabla_X \eta := (\flat_k \circ D_{\flat(X)} \circ \sharp_k)(\eta) \tag{5.4}$$

for any $\eta \in \Omega^k(P)$. We can verify that the extended map ∇_X satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(X_1, \cdots, X_k) = X\,(\eta(X_1, \cdots, X_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta\,(X_1, \cdots, \nabla_X X_i, \cdots, X_k).$$

and

$$\nabla_X(\xi \wedge \eta) = \nabla_X \xi \wedge \eta + \xi \wedge \nabla_X \eta \qquad (\xi,\, \eta \in \Omega^\bullet(P)).$$

For each differential 1-form $\alpha,\, \beta,\, \gamma$ on P, there exist vector fields $X,\, Y,\, Z \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$ such that $\alpha = \flat(X)$, $\beta = \flat(Y)$ and $\gamma = \flat(Z)$. Then, we have

$$\sharp_\omega(\alpha)(\Pi_\omega(\beta,\, \gamma)) = X(\Pi_\omega(\flat(Y),\, \flat(Z))) = X(\omega(Y, Z)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_\omega(D_\alpha \beta,\, \gamma) + \Pi(\beta,\, D_\alpha \gamma) &= \Pi_\omega(D_{\flat(X)} \flat(Y),\, \flat(Z)) + \Pi_\omega(\flat(Y),\, D_{\flat(X)} \flat(Z)) \\
&= -\flat(Z)(\sharp_\omega D_{\flat(X)} \flat(Y)) + \flat(Y)(\sharp_\omega D_{\flat(X)} \flat(Z)) \\
&= \omega(\nabla_X Y,\, Z) + \omega(Y,\, \nabla_X Z).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the condition that D is a Poisson connection is equivalent to

$$X(\omega(Y, Z)) = \omega(\nabla_X Y,\, Z) + \omega(Y,\, \nabla_X Z) \qquad (X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)). \tag{5.5}$$

The differential 2-form ω is said to be parallel if (5.5) holds (see [6]). On the other hand, the torsion ∇ which is denoted by T_∇ is vanishing. Indeed, it follows from $T \equiv 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\nabla(X, Y) &= \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,\, Y] \\
&= \sharp_\omega(D_{\flat(X)} \flat(Y)) - \sharp_\omega(D_{\flat(Y)} \flat(Z)) - [\sharp_\omega(\alpha),\, \sharp_\omega(\beta)] \\
&= \sharp_\omega(T(\alpha,\, \beta)) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In general, a covariant derivative on a symplectic manifold is called a symplectic connection if the symplectic form is parallel and its torsion is vanishing (see [2]). Therefore, we get the following:

Proposition 5.7 *The covariant derivative ∇ by (5.3) is a symplectic connection.*

The condition (5.5) or (4.4) is also represented as

$$(D_{\flat(X)}\alpha)(\sharp_\omega \beta) - (D_{\flat(X)}\beta)(\sharp_\omega \alpha) = X(\Pi_\omega(\alpha,\, \beta)) \qquad (\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(P),\, X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)). \tag{5.6}$$

Moreover, it can be extended for differential k-forms as follows:

Lemma 5.8 *For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(P)$ written in the form $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k$, $\beta = \beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k$ and any $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\flat(X)}\alpha_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k)(\sharp_\omega \beta_1, \cdots, \sharp_\omega \beta_k) \\
&\qquad + (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k)(\sharp_\omega \alpha_1, \cdots, \sharp_\omega \alpha_k) = X\Big(\Pi_\omega(\alpha,\, \beta)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second term on the left hand side is calculated to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k)(\sharp_\omega \alpha_1, \cdots, \sharp_\omega \alpha_k) \\
&\quad = (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\, \beta_1(\sharp_\omega \alpha_{\tau(1)}) \cdots D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j(\sharp_\omega \alpha_{\tau(j)}) \cdots \beta_k(\sharp_\omega \alpha_{\tau(k)}) \\
&\quad = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\, \alpha_{\tau(1)}(\sharp_\omega \beta_1) \cdots D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j(\sharp_\omega \alpha_{\tau(j)}) \cdots \alpha_{\tau(k)}(\sharp_\omega \beta_k) \\
&\quad = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}(\sharp_\omega \beta_1) \cdots D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j(\sharp_\omega \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(j)}) \cdots \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}(\sharp_\omega \beta_k) \\
&\quad = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, \alpha_1(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots D_{\flat(X)}\beta_{\sigma(i)}(\sharp_\omega \alpha_i) \cdots \alpha_k(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(k)}).
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition, by using (5.6), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\flat(X)}\alpha_i \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k)(\sharp_\omega \beta_1, \cdots, \sharp_\omega \beta_k) \\
&\qquad + (-1)^k \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{\flat(X)}\beta_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_k)(\sharp_\omega \alpha_1, \cdots, \sharp_\omega \alpha_k) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, \{D_{\flat(X)}\alpha_i(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(i)}) - D_{\flat(X)}\beta_{\sigma(i)}(\sharp_\omega \alpha_i)\}\, \alpha_1(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \widehat{\alpha_i(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(i)})} \cdots \alpha_k(\sharp_\omega \beta_{\sigma(k)}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, X(\Pi_\omega(\alpha_i, \beta_{\sigma(i)}))\Pi_\omega(\alpha_1, \beta_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \widehat{\Pi_\omega(\alpha_i, \beta_{\sigma(i)})} \cdots \Pi_\omega(\alpha_k, \beta_{\sigma(k)}) \\
&= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma)\, X\Big(\Pi_\omega(\alpha_1, \beta_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \Pi_\omega(\alpha_i, \beta_{\sigma(i)}) \cdots \Pi_\omega(\alpha_k, \beta_{\sigma(k)})\Big) \\
&= X\Big(\det\left(\Pi_\omega(\alpha_i, \beta_j)\right)\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the lemma. □

Proposition 5.9 *For any differential k-form $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(P)$ and any vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$, it holds that*

$$\Pi_k(\nabla_X \alpha, \beta) + \Pi_k(\alpha, \nabla_X \beta) = \nabla_X(\Pi_k(\alpha, \beta)).$$

Proof. The left hand side is given by

$$\Pi_k(\nabla_X \alpha, \beta) + \Pi_k(\alpha, \nabla_X \beta) = (-1)^k \langle \beta, D_{\flat(X)}\sharp_\omega \alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, D_{\flat(X)}\sharp_\omega \beta \rangle.$$

From Lemma 5.8 it follows that $\langle \alpha, D_{\flat(X)}\sharp_\omega \beta \rangle + (-1)^k \langle \beta, D_{\flat(X)}\sharp_\omega \alpha \rangle = \nabla_X(\Pi_k(\alpha, \beta))$. □

By using Proposition 5.9 and the condition that $\Pi_{2m}(\mu, \mu) = \langle \mu, \hat{\mu} \rangle = 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle \nabla_X \mu, \hat{\mu} \rangle = \Pi_{2m}(\nabla_X \mu, \mu) &= \frac{1}{2} \{\Pi_{2m}(\nabla_X \mu, \mu) + \Pi_{2m}(\mu, \nabla_X \mu)\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} X(\Pi_{2m}(\mu, \mu)) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\hat{\mu} = \sharp_{2m}(\mu) \neq 0$, we have $\nabla_X \mu = 0$. Therefore, it holds that

$$\nabla_X(\Pi_k(\alpha,\beta))\mu = \nabla_X(\Pi_k(\alpha,\beta)\mu) = \nabla_X(\alpha \wedge \star\beta) = (\nabla_X\alpha) \wedge \star\beta + \alpha \wedge \nabla_X(\star\beta) \tag{5.7}$$

for any differential k-form α, β and any vector field X on P. On the other hand,

$$\{\Pi_k(\nabla_X\alpha,\, \beta) + \Pi_k(\alpha,\, \nabla_X\beta)\}\mu = (\nabla_X\alpha) \wedge \star\beta + \alpha \wedge \star(\nabla_X\beta). \tag{5.8}$$

From (5.7), (5.8) and Proposition 5.9, we have

$$\nabla_X \star = \star \nabla_X. \tag{5.9}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 5.4, the curl operator $\mathfrak{C}_\mu$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}^k_\mu(K) &= (\mu^\sharp \circ \flat_{2m-k+1} \circ \partial_\Pi^{2m-k} \circ \sharp_{2m-k} \circ \mu^\flat)(K)\\ &= (\sharp_{k-1} \circ \star \circ \flat_{2m-k+1} \circ \partial_\Pi^{2m-k} \circ \sharp_{2m-k} \circ \star \circ \flat_k)(K) \qquad (K \in \mathfrak{X}^k(P)).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{e_1, \cdots e_{2m}\}$ be a local frame on some local chart U and $\{\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{2m}\}$ its dual coframe field. For $K \in \mathfrak{X}^k(P)$, we put $\kappa = \flat_k(K)$ for the sake of simplicity. By Theorem 4.3 and (5.9),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}^k_\mu|_U(K) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\sharp_{k-1} \circ \star \circ \flat_{2m-k+1} \circ (e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i}) \circ \sharp_{2m-k} \circ \star \circ \flat_k)(K)\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\sharp_{k-1} \circ \star \circ \flat(e_i) \wedge (\flat_{2m-k} \circ D_{\theta^i} \circ \sharp_{2m-k}))(\star\kappa)\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\sharp_{k-1} \circ \star \circ \flat(e_i) \wedge (\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i} \circ \star))(\kappa)\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \sharp_{k-1} \circ \star(\flat(e_i) \wedge (\star\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i}\kappa)).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.5 that

$$\star(\flat(e_i) \wedge (\star\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i}\kappa)) = (-1)^{2m-k} i\,(e_i) \star (\star\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i}\kappa) = (-1)^{2m-k} i\,(e_i)\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i}\kappa.$$

Accordingly, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^k_\mu|_U(K) = -(-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\sharp_{k-1} \circ i\,(e_i)\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i} \circ \flat_k)(K). \tag{5.10}$$

In (5.10), put $\eta_i = (\nabla_{\sharp\theta^i} \circ \flat_k)K$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \xi,\, \mathfrak{C}^k_\mu|_U(K)\rangle &= -(-1)^k \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \langle \xi,\, \sharp_{k-1} i\,(e_i)\eta_i\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \langle i\,(e_i)\eta_i,\, \sharp_{k-1}\xi\rangle\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \eta_i(e_i,\, \sharp_{k-1}\xi).\end{aligned}$$

for any $(k-1)$-form ξ on P. Since $\flat$ is invertible, there exists a local coframe field $\{\vartheta^1, \cdots, \vartheta^{2m}\}$ such that $e_i = \sharp\vartheta^i$ $(i = 1, 2, \cdots, 2m)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \eta_i(e_i,\, \sharp_{k-1}\xi) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \langle \eta_i,\, \sharp_k(\vartheta^i \wedge \xi)\rangle = -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \langle \xi \wedge \vartheta^i,\, \sharp_k\eta_i\rangle\\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \langle \xi,\, i\,(\vartheta^i)D_{\theta^i}K\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

which leads us to the following result:

Theorem 5.10 *Let (P, ω) be a $2m$-dimensional symplectic manifold equipped with a Poisson connection D. If the torsion of D is vanishing, then the k-th curl operator $\mathfrak{C}_\mu^k$ with respect to the volume form $\mu = \frac{1}{m!} \wedge^m \omega$ on P can be written in the form*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu^k|_U = -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_i))D_{\theta^i}.$$

on some local chart U.

It can be shown that Theorem 5.10 is independent of the choice of the local frame field by the way similar to Theorem 4.3. According to Darboux theorem, there exists a local neighborhood of each point of P with coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_m, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$ on which the symplectic form ω is written in the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{m} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

In Theorem 5.10, if

$$e_i = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} & (i = 1, \cdots, m), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} & (i = m+1, \cdots, 2m), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta^i = \begin{cases} dx_i & (i = 1, \cdots, m), \\ dy_i & (i = m+1, \cdots, 2m), \end{cases}$$

then $\mathfrak{C}_\mu^k$ is represented locally as follows:

Corollary 5.11 *$\mathfrak{C}_\mu^k$ is given locally by*

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu^k|_W = \sum_{i=1}^{m} i(dx_i)D_{dy_i} - i(dy_i)D_{dx_i}$$

with respect to Darboux coordinates $(W : x_1, \cdots, x_m, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$.

Example 5.1 *Let us consider a 2-dimensional symplectic manifold $\mathbb{R}^2$ with a symplectic form $\omega = \phi\, dx \wedge dy$, where ϕ is a smooth function $\phi(x, y) \neq 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$ (see Example 3.2). Let D be a Poisson connection whose torsion is vanishing. For any vector field $X = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} -i\,(\flat(\partial/\partial x))D_{dx}(X) - i\,(\flat(\partial/\partial y))D_{dy}(X) &= -\phi\,(dy)(D_{dx}X) + \phi\,(dx)(D_{dy}X) \\ &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} + \phi\,(D_{dx}dy - D_{dy}dx)(X) \\ &= \operatorname{div} X + \phi\{dx,\, dy\}_\Pi(X) \\ &= \operatorname{div} X - \phi\, X\Big(\frac{1}{\phi}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we remark that $\{dx,\, dy\}_\Pi = -d\{x,\, y\} = -d\phi$. Therefore, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_\mu(X) = -i\,(\flat(\partial/\partial x))D_{dx}(X) - i\,(\flat(\partial/\partial y))D_{dy}(X).$$

In particular, when $\phi \equiv 1$, i.e., ω is the canonical symplectic form,

$$i(dx)D_{dy}(X) - i(dy)D_{dx}(X) = -dx(D_{dx}X) + dy(D_{dy}X) = \mathfrak{C}_\mu(X),$$

which indicates Corollary 5.11.

From Proposition 5.4 and 5.6,

$$\mathfrak{C}^k_\mu = \mu^\sharp \circ d \circ \mu^\flat = \sharp_{k-1} \circ (\star \circ d \circ \star) \circ \flat_k = (-1)^{k+1} \sharp_{k-1} \circ \delta^k_\Pi \circ \flat_k.$$

Using Theorem 5.10, we can also get the following result:

Corollary 5.12 *The differential operator $\delta^\bullet_\Pi$ is represented locally by*

$$\delta^k_\Pi|_U = \sum_{i=1}^{2m} i\,(e_i) \nabla_{\sharp\theta^i}.$$

in terms of the symplectic connection ∇ by (5.4).

5.3 Applications

We let (P, ω) be a symplectic manifold of $2m$-dimension equipped with a Poisson connection D whose curvature 2-section is R_D and suppose that the torsion of D is vanishing. As discussed in 5.2, D induces a symplectic connection ∇. The following lemma says that D_α for any 1-form α commutes with the map $\flat$ induced from ω.

Lemma 5.13 *For any $\alpha \in \Omega^1(P)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{X}^1(P)$, $D_\alpha(\flat(X)) = \flat(D_\alpha X)$.*

Proof. Let V be any vector field on P. On one hand, $\langle D_\alpha(\flat(X)),\, V\rangle = \langle \flat \circ \nabla_{\sharp\alpha} X,\, V\rangle = \omega(\nabla_{\sharp\alpha} X,\, V)$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \flat(D_\alpha X),\, V\rangle &= \langle \flat(\sharp \nabla_{\sharp\alpha} \flat(X)),\, V\rangle = \langle \nabla_{\sharp\alpha} \flat(X),\, V\rangle \\ &= (\sharp\alpha)(\omega(X,\, V)) - \omega(X,\, \nabla_{\sharp\alpha} V).\end{aligned}$$

Since ∇ is a symplectic connection, we obtain

$$\langle D_\alpha \flat(X) - \flat(D_\alpha X),\, V\rangle = \omega(\nabla_{\sharp\alpha} X,\, V) - (\sharp\alpha)(\omega(X,\, V)) + \omega(X,\, \nabla_{\sharp\alpha} V) = 0,$$

which shows the lemma. □

Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2m}\}$ be a local frame field on some local chart U in P and $\{\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{2m}\}$ its dual coframe field. For each θ^i, θ^j $(i, j = 1, \cdots, 2m)$, $D_{\theta^i}\theta^j$ are differential 1-forms on U. So, there exist a family of functions Γ^{ij}_k on U and then $D_{\theta^i}\theta^j$ can be written in the form $D_{\theta^i}\theta^j = \sum_k \Gamma^{ij}_k \theta^k$. By (4.1), vector fields $D_{\theta^i} e_j$ on U can be expressed as $D_{\theta^i} e_j = -\sum_k \Gamma^{ik}_j e_k$. More generally, if a vector field X is locally written as $X = \sum_i \xi_i e_i$, then $D_{\theta^i} X$ is given by

$$D_{\theta^i} X = \sum_k \{\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \xi_k - \sum_j (D_{\theta^i}\theta^k)(e_j)\} e_k. \tag{5.11}$$

We take $\mu = \frac{1}{m!} \wedge^m \omega$ as a volume form on P. Using Theorem 4.3 and 5.10, we obtain local explicit description for both $\partial^a_\Pi A$ and $\mathfrak{C}^a_\mu A$ of $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(P)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial^{a-1}_\Pi|_U \circ \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu|_U)(A) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i}\Big(-\sum_{j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j)) D_{\theta^j} A\Big) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i}(i\,(\flat(e_j)) D_{\theta^j}) A \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(D_{\theta^i}(\flat(e_j))) D_{\theta^j} A + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j)) D_{\theta^i} D_{\theta^j} A\end{aligned}$$

We remark that Proposition 4.1 is used in the last equality. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 and (4.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{C}_\mu^{a+1}|_U \circ \partial_\Pi^a|_U)(A) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j))D_{\theta^j}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i}A\Big) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j))(D_{\theta^j}e_i \wedge D_{\theta^i} + e_i \wedge D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i})A \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j))\Big(-\sum_a \Gamma_i^{jk} e_a \wedge D_{\theta^i}A\Big) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j))(e_i \wedge D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i})A \\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} i\,(\flat(e_j))(e_i \wedge D_{D_{\theta^j}\theta^i}A) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\{e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i}A + (-1)^k\omega(e_j,e_i)D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i}A\} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))(D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i} - D_{D_{\theta^j}\theta^i})A + (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\mu^a|_U A &= (\mathfrak{C}_\mu^{a+1}\circ\partial_\Pi^a - \partial_\Pi^{a-1}\circ\mathfrak{C}_\mu^a)A \\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{\theta^j}D_{\theta^i} + D_{D_{\theta^j}\theta^i})A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(D_{\theta^i}(\flat(e_j)))D_{\theta^j}A \\
&\quad + (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since it holds that $D_{\theta^j}\theta^i = D_{\theta^i}\theta^j - [\theta^i,\,\theta^j]_\Pi$ from the assumption,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\mu^a|_U A &= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))\,R_D(\theta^i,\,\theta^j)A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(D_{\theta^i}(\flat(e_j)))D_{\theta^j}A \\
&\quad + (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j}A \\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))\,R_D(\theta^i,\,\theta^j)A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(D_{\theta^i}(\flat(e_j)))D_{\theta^j}A \\
&\quad + (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))D_{\sum_k \Gamma_k^{ij}\theta^k}A \\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))\,R_D(\theta^i,\,\theta^j)A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(D_{\theta^i}(\flat(e_j)))D_{\theta^j}A \\
&\quad + (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,((\flat(D_{\theta^i}e_j)))D_{\theta^j}A.
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 5.13 in the last equality, we have

$$
\Lambda_\mu^a|_U A = (-1)^a\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\omega(e_i,\,e_j)(D_{\theta^i}D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j})A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\flat(e_j))\,R_D(\theta^i,\,\theta^j)A.
$$

Put $D_{ij} = D_{\theta^i} D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j}$ for each $i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, 2m$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j)(D_{\theta^i} D_{\theta^j} - D_{D_{\theta^i}\theta^j}) &= \sum_{i<j}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j)(D_{ij} - D_{ji}) \\ &= \sum_{i<j}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j)(D_{\theta^i} D_{\theta^j} - D_{\theta^j} D_{\theta^i} - D_{[\theta^i, \theta^j]_\Pi}) \\ &= \sum_{i<j}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j) R_D(\theta^i,\, \theta^j).\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we find that the modular operator with respect to $\mu = \frac{1}{m!} \wedge^m \omega$ is locally expressed in the form

$$\Lambda^a_\mu|_U A = (-1)^a \sum_{i<j}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j)\, R_D(\theta^i,\, \theta^j) A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\mathfrak{b}(e_j))\, R_D(\theta^i,\, \theta^j) A$$

By the fact the modular vector field Ξ_μ with respect to μ is zero, we can get the following result:

Theorem 5.14 *Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2m}\}$ be a local frame field on some local chart U in P and $\{\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{2m}\}$ its dual coframe field. If P has a Poisson connection D whose torsion is vanishing, then R_D satisfies the following equation:*

$$(-1)^a \sum_{i<j}^{2m} \omega(e_i,\, e_j)\, R_D(\theta^i,\, \theta^j)\, A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} e_i \wedge i\,(\mathfrak{b}(e_j))\, R_D(\theta^i,\, \theta^j)\, A.$$

for any $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(P)$.

Especially, if we choose Darboux coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_m, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$ as U, the above formula is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{m} R_D(dx_i, dy_i)\, A = &\sum_{i=1}^{m}\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} i(dx_j) R_D(dx_i, dy_j) - \sum_{j\neq i} i(dy_j) R_D(dx_i, dx_j)\Big\} A \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m}\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^{m} i(dy_j) R_D(dx_j, dy_i) - \sum_{j\neq i} i(dx_j) R_D(dy_j, dy_i)\Big\} A \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, let us observe how the modular operator for a symplectic manifold $(P,\, \omega)$ can be locally described when we change the volume form on P. If f is a non-vanishing function, then it holds that, for any $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(P)$

$$[A,\, \log|f|]_{\mathrm{S}} = (-1)^{a-1} i\,(d \log|f|) A$$

by using (2.4). From this, the curl operator with respect to the volume form $\nu = f\mu$ is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}^a_\nu A &= \nu^\sharp(di(A)\nu) = \nu^\sharp(f i(A)\mu) + \nu^\sharp(df \wedge i(A)\mu) = i(di(A)\mu)\,\hat{\mu} + i(d\log|f| \wedge i(A)\mu)\,\hat{\mu} \\ &= \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu A + i(d\log|f|)\,\mu^\sharp(i(A)\mu) = \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu A + i(d\log|f|)\, A \\ &= \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu A + (-1)^{a-1}[A,\, \log|f|]_{\mathrm{S}}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.4) and Theorem 5.10 to the second term in the last equality, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^a_\nu|_U A = \mathfrak{C}^a_\mu|_U A - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\; i(\mathfrak{b}(e_i))\, A \tag{5.12}$$

on a local chart U of P.

Theorem 5.15 *Let $\{e_1, \cdots, e_{2m}\}$ be a local frame field on some local chart U in P and $\{\theta^1, \cdots, \theta^{2m}\}$ its dual coframe field. If P has a Poisson connection D whose torsion is vanishing, then the modular operator with respect to the volume form $\nu = f\mu$ can be locally described in the explicit formula*

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda^a_\nu|_U A = (-1)^a \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\, \omega(e_i, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A \\ + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^k} \log|f|) \Gamma^{i,j}_k - \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} \log|f| \Big\} e_i \wedge i(\flat(e_j)) A.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{C}_\nu|_U \circ \partial_\Pi|_U)\, A &= (\mathfrak{C}_\mu|_U \circ \partial_\Pi|_U)\, A - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\, i(\flat(e_i))\, \partial_\Pi|_U A, \\ (\partial_\Pi|_U \circ \mathfrak{C}_\nu|_U)\, A &= (\partial_\Pi|_U \circ \mathfrak{C}_\mu|_U)\, A - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \partial_\Pi|_U \Big((\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\, i(\flat(e_i))\, A \Big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Lambda^a_\nu|_U A = -\sum_{i=1}^{2m} \Big\{ F_i\, i(\flat(e_i)) \partial_\Pi A - \partial_\Pi (F_i \flat(e_i) A) \Big\}, \tag{5.13}$$

where $F_i = \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|$ $(i = 1, \cdots, 2m)$. We remark that $\Lambda_\mu|_U = \mathfrak{C}_\mu|_U \circ \partial_\Pi|_U - \partial_\Pi|_U \circ \mathfrak{C}_\mu|_U = 0$. By Theorem 4.3,

$$F_i\, i(\flat(e_i)) \partial_\Pi|_U A = -F_i \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \{ e_j \wedge i(\flat(e_i)) D_{\theta_j} A + (-1)^a \omega(e_i,\, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A \}$$

and

$$\partial_\Pi|_U (F_i \flat(e_i) A) = -\sum_{j=1}^{2m} e_j \wedge \{ F_i D_{\theta^j} i(\flat(e_i)) A + (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} F_i) i(\flat(e_i)) A \}.$$

Using Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 5.13, we compute $\Lambda^a_\nu|_U A$ as

$$\begin{aligned}(5.13) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} F_i e_j \wedge i(\flat(D_{\theta_j} e_i))\, A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} F_i) e_j \wedge i(\flat(e_i))\, A + (-1)^a \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} F_i \omega(e_i, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} F_i \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (D_{\theta^j} \theta^k)(e_i) e_j \wedge i(\flat(e_k))\, A - \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} F_i) e_j \wedge i(\flat(e_i))\, A + (-1)^a \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} F_i \omega(e_i, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorem 5.15 is shown. □

By (3.5), we can specify locally the Schouten bracket of the modular vector field Ξ_ν with respect to ν and $A \in \mathfrak{X}^a(P)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}[\Xi_\nu,\, A]_S|_U = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\, \omega(e_i, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A \\ + (-1)^a \sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} \Big\{ \sum_{k=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^k} \log|f|) \Gamma^{i,j}_k - \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} \log|f| \Big\} e_i \wedge i(\flat(e_j)) A.\end{aligned}$$

in terms of Poisson connection whose torsion is vanishing.

Example 5.2 *We consider a symplectic manifold* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *equipped with a symplectic form* $\omega = (1/\phi)\, dx \wedge dy$ *and compute the modular operator* $\Xi_\nu X$ *with respect to the volume form* $\nu = dx \wedge dy$ *of* $X = f\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + g\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ *(see Example 3.5). Remark that* ν *is obtained from* ω *multiplied by* ϕ*. Let* D *be a Poisson connection whose torsion is vanishing. We choose* $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\}$ *as a local frame field and put* $e_1 = \partial_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $e_2 = \partial_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$*. Then, by a direct computation we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i}\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^j} \log|f|)\; e_i \wedge i(\flat(e_j)) = \left(-\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y}f - \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2}g\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2}f + \frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y}g\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y},\\
&\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m}\sum_{k=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^k} \log|f|)(D_{\theta^i}\theta^j)(e_k)\; e_i \wedge i(\flat(e_j))\, A\\
&\qquad = \frac{1}{\phi}\left\{-g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dx}dx)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dx}dx)(\partial_y) + f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dx}dy)(\partial_x) - f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dx}dy)(\partial_y)\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\phi}\left\{-g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dx)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dx)(\partial_y) + f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dy)(\partial_x) - f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dy}dy)(\partial_y)\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i,j=1}^{2m} (\mathcal{L}_{\sharp\theta^i} \log|f|)\omega(e_i, e_j) D_{\theta^j} A\\
&= -\frac{1}{\phi}\left\{f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dx}dx)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dx}dx)(\partial_y) + f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dx)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dx)(\partial_y)\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{\phi}\left\{f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dx}dy)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}(D_{dx}dy)(\partial_y) + f\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dy)(\partial_x) + g\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}(D_{dy}dy)(\partial_y)\right\}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\\
&\quad +\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the assumption that D *is a Poisson connection it follows that*

$$
(D_{dx}dx)(\partial_x) + (D_{dx}dy)(\partial_y) = -\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y}, \quad (D_{dy}dx)(\partial_x) + (D_{dy}dy)(\partial_y) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x}. \tag{5.14}
$$

By (5.14) and the fact that the torsion of D *is vanishing, the right-hand side of the formula in Theorem 5.15 is calculated to be*

$$
\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} - f\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y} - g\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial y^2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial x} + f\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x^2} + g\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial x\partial y}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y},
$$

which certainly gives us the same result as Example 3.5.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Emeritus Professor Toshiaki Kori, Professor Hiroaki Yoshimura of Waseda University and Noriaki Ikeda of Ritsumeikan University for useful discussion and helpful comments. He also wishes to thank Waseda University for the hospitality while part of the work was being done.

References

[1] M. Berger: *A panoramic view of Riemannian geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[2] P. Bieliavsky, M. Cahen, S. Gutt, J. Rawnsley and L. Schwarchhöfer: *Symplectic connections*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 3 (2006), no. 3, 375–420.

[3] J. -L. Brylinski: *A differential complex for Poisson manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. 28 (1988), no. 1, 93–114.

[4] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein: *Geometric models for noncommutative algebras*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.

[5] J.-P. Dufour and N. T. Zung: *Poisson Structures and Their Normal Forms*. Prog. in Math. 242, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.

[6] R. L. Fernandes: *Connections in Poisson geometry I: Holonomy and invariants*, J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), no. 2, 303–365.

[7] J.-L. Koszul: *Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie*, The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984), Asérique 1985, Numéro Hors Série, 257–271.

[8] C. Laurent-Gengoux, A. Pichereau, P. Vanhaecke: *Poisson strucutures*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 347, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013.

[9] J. Jost: *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*, Third edition. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

Yuji HIROTA
Azabu University
Email : hirota@azabu-u.ac.jp